

Water 2930 Tongass Avenue Ketchikan, AK 99901

Phone (907) 225-1000 Fax (907) 247-3232

Your Community, Your Unlity

## Memorandum

TO:

Lacey G. Simpson, Assistant General Manager

FROM:

John C. Kleinegger, Water Division Manager/Project Engineer

DATE:

July 8, 2019

SUBJECT: Ketchikan's PFAS (Perfluoroalkyl Substances) Drinking Water Test Results

Nationwide, more and more concern is being expressed about the increasing problem of PFAS contamination of groundwater supplies through the earlier US manufacture of heat-resistant, non-stick coatings and their continued usage in fire-fighting foams. Although it is not enforceable as a mandate, in 2016 the EPA released a 70 parts per trillion (PPT) lifetime health advisory guidance for combined PFOA (Perfluorooctanoic acid) and PFOS (Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid) exposure. In addition to PFOA and PFOS compounds, there are ten other common PFAS with similar abbreviations also appearing in literature. All are heat-resistant, able to repel water, and close to indestructible.

Given the adverse health effects associated with PFAS consumption, though the EPA has said that it will decide whether it's setting actual drinking water standards for PFAS by the end of 2019, some State and local regulators have felt that these advisories do not go far enough and have been working to implement their own restrictions. According to an appended article appearing in this month's AWWA Journal, 12 states have already moved ahead and put policies in place for PFAS's in drinking water and another 17 have established policies to protect drinking water from PFAS's.

With Barrow, Galena and Eielson Air Force Bases, Fairbanks, Yakutat, and Gustavus all reporting PFAS contamination of their drinking water, it seemed prudent to have Ketchikan's drinking water tested. As discussed in the most recent Consumer Confidence Report mailed to all our ratepayers this past June, samples of our potable water have been collected and sent off for analysis. To ensure that none of the chemicals added during our disinfection process were possibly also contaminated with PFAS, the water samples were collected from our disinfected drinking water as it left the Bear Valley Reservoir and before it entered our distribution system.

The appended chemical analysis for twelve of the major PFAS constituents (there are over 1,200 known to have been used in commerce) were measured by extraordinarily precise laboratory equipment which has a Method Reporting Limit (MRL) of 2.0 parts per trillion (PPT). The results are all expressed as < 2.0 nanograms per liter (ng/L) which is a notation for and equivalent to stating that the analytical results are all less than 2.0 parts per trillion (PPT).

For ease of comparison, a part per trillion (PPT) is extremely minute and is equal to one minute in 200,000 years or 1 penny in \$10-billion dollars.

Client Name: Pollen Environmental LLC Report #: 454129

Sampling Point: PEF49891 BearValleyTankOutlet

PWS ID: AK2120232

EEA Methods										
Analyte ID#	Analyte	Method	Reg Limit	MRL†	Result	Units	Preparation Date	Analyzed Date	EEA ID#	
375-73-5	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	431270	
335-76-2	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	431270	
375-85-9	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	431270	
355-46-4	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	431270	
307-24-4	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
307-55-1	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA) \$	537	Terror sure recommend	2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
376-06-7	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA) \$	537	accustomental and	2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
375-95-1	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
1763-23-1	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
335-67-1	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
72629-94-8	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	
2058-94-8	Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA) \$	537		2.0	< 2.0	ng/L	06/10/19 08:11	06/12/19 16:53	4312702	

<sup>\$</sup> The state of origin does not offer certification for this parameter.

<sup>†</sup> EEA has demonstrated it can achieve these report limits in reagent water, but can not document them in all sample matrices.

Reg Limit Type:	MCL	SMCL	AL							
any pament, paper displace men's jument of below in below an primer and primer annual and commended in primer with the security of	ref il distribution to product and constitution of the confidence of the product	r Cardina Ladalanda (a Raban Arabana) - a lada an ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	TO A COUNTY OF MINISTER OF THE PLANT OF THE ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY CONTINUES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA							
Symbol:	•	۸	!							

## DAVID B. LAFRANCE, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER



## **PFAS 101**

f you are in the water sector, and even if you are not, you have most likely heard about PFASs, yet you may not understand what they are or why they seem to have suddenly become the focus of the water sector. PFASs is an acronym for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances. These are human-made substances.

substances. These are human-made substances, chemicals, actually, used in the creation of products that are part of our daily lives. For example, people in the know often point to products like firefighting foam and Teflon, but PFASs are also found in shampoo, paint, and even fast-food packaging. The list is quite long.

There are over 4,000 individual human-made chemicals that make up the family of PFASs, and their creation dates back to the 1940s. The US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) reports that more than 1,200 PFAS compounds have been used in commerce and about 600 are still used today. The most well-known PFAS compounds—at least in the water sector—are PFOA (perfluorooctanoic acid) and PFOS (perflurorooctane sulfontate); they were the subjects of USEPA's health advisories in 2016.

The proliferation of PFAS use over the years has created a present-day challenge for water systems. These chemicals can be found in source waters, including groundwater, lakes, and rivers; because PFASs degrade slowly in the environment, many describe them as "forever" chemicals.

The prevalence and staying power of PFASs in the environment—including drinking water sources—raises questions about the possibility of adverse public health impacts from these compounds. Some studies suggest that PFASs may be related to a number of health issues, such as increasing cancer risk, affecting human growth and reproduction, and elevating cholesterol levels. It is helpful to know that advanced treatment solutions like granular activated carbon, anion exchange resin, and membrane technologies are effective at removing PFASs.

USEPA has not proposed a drinking water regulation for PFAS compounds, but it's important to note that the agency has been systematically collecting data and conducting research to support a decision whether to regulate. USEPA's systematic process is outlined in the Safe Drinking Water Act. In simplified terms, USEPA must create a list of contaminants that may require a national drinking water regulation in the future; that list is called the Contaminant Candidate List (CCL). USEPA then uses the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) to collect data on the occurrence of unregulated contaminants, like PFASs.

Here's the connection: USEPA's choice of which contaminants to include in the UCMR generally comes from the CCL.

In 2012, USEPA selected six PFAS compounds from the CCL to be part of the UCMR. The data indicated that PFOS and PFOA were the most frequently detected PFAS in finished drinking water. During the UCMR part of the process, PFOS and PFOA were detected in approximately 1.9% and 2.4% of public water systems, respectively.

PFAS have become a big issue not only for USEPA and water utilities but also for states. In February of this year, USEPA issued its PFAS Action Plan—including a goal to move forward with a regulatory determination for PFOS and PFOA before year's end. It seems, however, that some states are unwilling to wait—12 states have already moved ahead and put policies in place for PFASs in drinking water, and 17 others have established policies to protect drinking water from PFASs.

On behalf of AWWA and its members, my colleague Tracy Mehan, AWWA's executive director of government affairs, testified on PFASs before the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works and the House Subcommittee on the Environment and Climate Change in May. First and foremost, Tracy urged Congress to take steps to protect source water from PFAS contamination. He pointed to existing authorities that Congress already has for this purpose, such as the Toxic Substances Control Act as a major tool to prevent introduction of dangerous chemicals into the environment. He also stressed the importance of using sound scientific process, adding that "we are eager to follow the data on PFAS compounds wherever it may go in the investigative process so that we may know how to best protect public health." He further highlighted the need to fund additional research on PFAS health effects, analytical methods, and treatment technologies.

There is certainly a great deal of uncertainty surrounding PFASs, and water systems again find themselves at the center of an emotional public health debate. It is worth pointing out that this uncertainty will be repeated as we evaluate other emerging contaminants in the future. And when that happens, just as it is happening now with PFASs, AWWA will stand by the twin pillars that uphold smart water policy: a commitment to public health protection and fidelity to rigorous scientific process.

https://doi.org/10.1002/awwa.1318